

1 RODERICK E. WALSTON  
2 *Pro hac vice* (Cal. Bar No. 32675)  
3 MILES B. H. KRIEGER  
4 *Pro hac vice* (Cal. Bar No. 309797)  
5 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  
6 2001 North Main Street, Suite 390  
7 Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
8 Telephone: (925) 977-3304  
9 Mobile: (925) 375-9511  
10 roderick.walston@bbklaw.com  
11 miles.krieger@bbklaw.com

12 Attorneys for Defendant  
13 CENTENNIAL LIVESTOCK

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION  
DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,  
Defendants.

IN EQUITY NO. C-125

Case No. 3:73-CV-00127-MMD-CSD

**MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE  
PARTY AND ORDER**

## MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY

Pursuant to Rule 25(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), defendant Centennial Livestock hereby moves to substitute Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock, for Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action. Centennial Livestock has transferred its ownership interest in its land and water rights, including its water rights in the Walker River, to the aforementioned entities, as a result of which Centennial Livestock has ceased to own any land or water rights affected by the action. The grounds for this motion are set forth in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and on all papers and pleadings on file in this proceeding.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### 1. Rule 25(c) of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Under Rule 25(c) of the FRCP, if the interest of a party is transferred, the action may be continued by or against the original party unless the court, on motion, orders the transferee to be substituted in the action. “Rule 25(c) is not designed to create new relationships among parties to a suit but is designed to allow the action to continue unabated when an interest in the lawsuit changes hands.” *In re Bernal*, 207 F.3d 595, 598 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000), quoting *Collateral Control Corp v. Deal*, 638 F.2d 1362, 1264 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981). According to a leading treatise:

The most significant feature of Rule 25(c) is that it does not require that anything be done after an interest has been transferred. The action may be continued by or against the original party, and the judgment will be binding on his successor in interest even though he is not named. An order of joinder is merely a discretionary

1 determination by the trial court that the transferee's presence would  
2 facilitate the conduct of the litigation.

3  
4 7C Charles Alan Writ, Arthur R. Miller & Mary Kay Kane, *Federal Practice and*  
5 *Procedure* § 1958 (2d ed. 1986) (footnote omitted).

6  
7 **2. Factual Grounds for Motion**

8  
9 Centennial Livestock is a limited liability partnership located in and  
10 established under the laws of California. Centennial Livestock possesses water  
11 rights in the Walker River, which were recognized and adjudicated in the Walker  
12 River Decree. Centennial Livestock is one of the defendants in this action, and is  
13 also one of the Principal Defendants, who are the defendants that have actively  
14 participated in the litigation. Centennial Livestock has actively participated in this  
15 litigation, by, among other things, filing pleadings, motions and briefs, and joining  
16 in actions taken by the other Principal Defendants.

17  
18 For succession planning and other actions unrelated to the pending action,  
19 Centennial Livestock recently transferred its ownership interests in its land and  
20 water rights, including its water rights in the Walker River, to its general partners.  
21 As a result, the land and water rights formerly owned by Centennial Livestock are  
22 now owned by two new entities—Wood Bridgeport, LLC, a California limited  
23 liability company, and Lacey Livestock, a California general partnership. As a  
24 result of the transfer, Wood Bridgeport, LLC, will own 52%, and Lacey Livestock  
25 48%, of Centennial Livestock's land and water rights, including its water rights in  
26 the Walker River. As a result of the transfer, Centennial Livestock has ceased to  
27 own any land or water rights affected by the action.

1 This motion is to substitute the two new entities—Wood Bridgeport, LLC,  
2 and Lacey Livestock—for Centennial Livestock under Rule 25(c) of the FRCP.  
3 The substitution of the new entities for Centennial Livestock would facilitate the  
4 conduct of this litigation. Centennial Livestock no longer has an interest in the  
5 action, and there is no longer a basis for Centennial Livestock’s being a defendant  
6 in this action. On the other hand, the two successor entities—Wood Bridgeport,  
7 LLC, and Lacey Livestock—now possess the water rights in the Walker River that  
8 were formerly held by Centennial Livestock, and thus they have the same interest  
9 in defending their water rights in the instant action that Centennial Livestock  
10 formerly had. Therefore, it is appropriate that Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey  
11 Livestock be substituted for Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action.

12  
13 The two new entities will be represented by Centennial Livestock’s counsel,  
14 Roderick E. Walston.

## 15 16 CONCLUSION

17  
18 For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant the motion to substitute  
19 Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock, for Centennial Livestock as  
20 defendants in this action.

21 Dated: March 8, 2023

22 By: /s/ Roderick E. Walston  
23 RODERICK E. WALSTON  
24 Counsel for defendant Centennial  
25 Livestock  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

Wood Bridgeport, LLC, and Lacey Livestock shall be substituted for defendant Centennial Livestock as defendants in this action.

DATED: March 9, 2023.

  
United States Magistrate Judge